Case: 4:25-cv-00188-SRC Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/14/25 Page: 1 of 9 PageID #: 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

Julie M. Russell,)
Plaintiff,))
v.)) Civil Action No.
Mercy-GoHealth Urgent Care, LLC,)
Defendant.)

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT & THE MISSOURI MINIMUM WAGE LAW

COMES NOW Plaintiff Julie M. Russell (hereinafter "Ms. Russell" or "Plaintiff") by and through counsel, and for her Complaint against Defendant Mercy-GoHealth Urgent Care, LLC ("Defendant"), states and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendant under federal and state law, specifically the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. and the Missouri Minimum Wage Law ("MMWL"), Mo. Rev. Stat. § 290.500 et seq.
- 2. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendant for unpaid overtime compensation, and related penalties and damages.
- 3. Defendant's payroll policies and practices were and are in direct violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
- Defendant's payroll policies and practices were and are in direct violation of the MMWL,
 Mo. Rev. Stat. § 290.500 et seq.

Case: 4:25-cv-00188-SRC Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/14/25 Page: 2 of 9 PageID #: 2

5. For said violations, Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief; unpaid back wages; liquidated and/or other damages as permitted by applicable law; as well as attorney's fees, costs, and expenses incurred in this action.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Julie Russell is an adult resident of Portage Des Sioux, St. Charles County, Missouri.
- 7. Defendant Mercy-GoHealth Urgent Care, LLC is a Delaware-based limited liability company, licensed to do business in Missouri, and may be reached for service through its registered agent, CSC Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 221 Bolivar Street, Jefferson City, MO 65101.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1311 because this case is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*
- 9. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because Plaintiff's claims under Missouri state law are so related to Plaintiff's claims under federal law that they form the same case or controversy, pursuant to Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 10. Defendant transacts substantial business in the state of Missouri, employs citizens of the state of Missouri, and is registered and subject to service of process in Missouri. Therefore, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 11. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

Case: 4:25-cv-00188-SRC Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/14/25 Page: 3 of 9 PageID #: 3

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 12. Defendant Mercy-GoHealth Urgent Care, LLC is a collaborative venture between Mercy Health, a large not-for-profit multi-state health system headquartered in St. Louis, and GoHealth Urgent Care, a leading urgent care provider.
- 13. Mercy-GoHealth Urgent Care operates a network of co-branded urgent care centers across several Midwest markets, including St. Louis, Springfield, Oklahoma City, and Northwest Arkansas.
- 14. These centers are open seven days a week with extended hours and are equipped with onsite labs, digital X-ray, EKG, and point-of-care testing to provide both diagnosis and treatment.
- 15. On or about January 9, 2023, Defendant hired Ms. Russell to work as an Operations Specialist.
- 16. Ms. Russell has worked in the position of Operations Specialist from her date of hire to the present.
- 17. As an Operations Specialist, Ms. Russell's primary job duty is preparing and maintaining work schedules for Defendant's health care providers and other staff members. In addition, Ms. Russell approves employee timecards and use of Paid Time Off (PTO) and preliminarily approves vendor invoices for payment.
- 18. As an Operations Specialist, Ms. Russell is paid an annual salary of \$63,000, regardless of the number of hours he worked.
- 19. Ms. Russell is expected to work a standard workday from 6:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.
- 20. Because of the company's large staff and the ever-shifting availability of staff members,

- Ms. Russell's job requires far more than 40 hours per week.
- 21. In fact, Ms. Russell works 50 to 75 hours per week, often from home.
- 22. Ms. Russell's best estimate is that she works an average of 60 hours per week.
- 23. Because management treats her as an exempt, salaried employee, Ms. Russell is constantly being pressured to work additional hours, without any additional pay.
- 24. Defendant has no system for tracking Ms. Russell's hours and has never paid Ms. Russell an overtime premium for the hours she has worked over forty in a work week.
- 25. Ms. Russell's job does not involve the supervision of others, and she has no authority to hire or fire Defendant's employees, nor are her suggestions as to the hiring and firing of employees given any particular weight.
- 26. Ms. Russell's job does not involve the exercise of discretion and independent judgment in significant matters affecting business operations.
- 27. Ms. Russell does not have the authority to make important decisions; she simply maintains staff schedules and other duties following prescribed rules or procedures.
- 28. Over a year ago, the vice-president of Mercy-GoHealth told Ms. Russell that she had no decision-making authority and that she should be paid hourly. He indicated that he was going to look into why she was on salary, but he never did.
- 29. Quite recently, the president of Mercy-GoHealth reminded Ms. Russell that she was not a manager and had no decision-making authority.
- 30. At least one of Ms. Russell's co-workers, Jessica Eiland has the same title and job duties as Ms. Russell but is paid on an hourly basis and receives a premium for all overtime work.

Case: 4:25-cv-00188-SRC Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/14/25 Page: 5 of 9 PageID #: 5

COUNT I--VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 31. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all allegations above as if actually set forth herein.
- 32. At all relevant times, Defendant's annual gross business done was not less than \$500,000. 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A)(ii).
- 33. At all relevant times, Defendant employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1).
- 34. Accordingly, at all relevant times, Defendant was a covered "employer" subject to the enterprise coverage of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1).
- 35. The FLSA requires each covered employer, including Defendant, to compensate all non-exempt employees at a rate of not less than one and one-half the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a work week. 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).
- 36. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee and subject to the coverage of the FLSA.
- 37. During the relevant period, Plaintiff regularly worked more than forty hours in a workweek.
- 38. During the relevant period, Defendant willfully failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime premium for his hours worked over forty in a given workweek.
- 39. Instead, Defendant paid Plaintiff the same amount each week regardless of her hours worked.
- 40. As a result of Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiff at a rate of not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for all work performed in excess of forty hours in a work week, Defendant have violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).

- 41. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 42. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, also fails to meet the standard of good faith compliance with the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 260.

COUNT II—VIOLATION OF THE MISSOURI MINIMUM WAGE LAW

- 43. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all allegations above as if actually set forth herein.
- 44. At all relevant times, Defendant were employers, pursuant to the MMWL. Mo. Rev. Stat. § 290.500(4).
- 45. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was a covered employee, pursuant to the MMWL. Mo. Rev. Stat. § 290.500 (3)
- 46. The MMWL requires employers, including Defendant, to pay employees for hours worked over forty in a work week "a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he is employed." Mo. Rev. Stat. § 290.505 (1).
- 47. Defendant has willfully failed and refused to pay its employees, including Plaintiff, an overtime premium equivalent to one and one-half times their regular rate of pay whenever these employees work more than forty hours in a workweek.
- 48. As a result of Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiff and all those similarly situated at a rate of not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for all work performed in excess of forty hours in a work week, Defendant have violated the MMWL, Mo. Rev. Stat. § 290.505 (1).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Julie M. Russell prays for relief as follows:

- A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and MMWL;
- 2. Pre-judgment interest, as provided by law;
- 3. An award of money damages for unpaid wages, including liquidated damages, treble damages, compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 4. An award of costs and expenses of this action incurred herein, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expert fees;
- 5. Any and all such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just, and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Philip E. Oliphant
Philip E. Oliphant, Bar Number: 25990(TN)
THE ROLWES LAW FIRM
1951 Mignon Avenue
Memphis, TN 38107
901.830.4663 (voice)
901.979.2499 (fax)
poliphant@rolweslaw.com

Edward J. Rolwes, Bar Number: 51522(MO) THE ROLWES LAW FIRM 4818 Washington Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63108 314-806-9628/314-472-0900 erolwes@rolweslaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

Case: 4:25-cv-00188-SRC Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/14/25 Page: 8 of 9 PageID #: 8

DECLARATION AND VERIFICATION

I, **Julie M. Russell,** verify and declare that the facts stated in the foregoing Verified Complaint to the best of my knowledge and belief are true, and that the Complaint is not made out of levity or by collusion with the Defendant, but in sincerity and truth for the causes mentioned in the Complaint.

Julie M. Russell

Date: ____02 / 14 / 2025

Case: 4:25-cv-00188-SRC Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/14/25 Page: 9 of 9 PageID #: 9



Audit trail

Title 250214 [] Russell - FLSA Complaint

File name 250214 [] Russell - FLSA Complaint.pdf

Document ID 7d892b62da9792439da945e334d376401d55f1b6

Audit trail date format MM / DD / YYYY

Status • Signed

Document History

(

02 / 14 / 2025 Sent for signature to Julie Russell

SENT 09:56:01 UTC-6 (russelljulie861@gmail.com) from philip.oliphant@att.net

IP: 162.125.63.18

O2 / 14 / 2025 Viewed by Julie Russell (russelljulie861@gmail.com)

VIEWED 10:03:18 UTC-6 IP: 172.59.171.160

<u>▶ Degree 14 / 2025</u> Signed by Julie Russell (russelljulie861@gmail.com)

SIGNED 10:03:59 UTC-6 IP: 172.59.171.160

7 02 / 14 / 2025 The document has been completed.

10:03:59 UTC-6